

HELP NEEDED! PLEASE READ!

HAMBLE AIRFIELD REMAINS IN THE HAMPSHIRE MINERALS & WASTE PLAN (HMWP)

HERE IS GUIDANCE AND ADVICE FOR RESPONDING TO THE FINAL CONSULTATION PERIOD

This is the last opportunity to have Hamble Airfield removed from the plan and the **final** consultation period that ends on **19th December**. Any previous comments you have made will not go before the planning inspector unless you fill in the HCC Representation form. The Inspector will examine all the responses (in the spring of 2012) and will be checking that the plan is 'sound'.

In our opinion its soundness is a big concern.

What can I do? You must make your response on the form provided and post it to HCC in the attached envelope (address also on form). Although **it may look tricky, it's not** if you follow the guidance below and overleaf. You may download (<http://consult.hants.gov.uk/file/1996544>) and email the form to planning.policy@hants.gov.uk.

Every response will count and the more responses sent, the more the Inspector will realise just how contentious the Airfield plan is.

Part A

1. **1.1 Personal Details** – self explanatory
2. **1.2 Agents Details** – please leave blank
3. **Sign and Date** – please do this otherwise your response will be discounted

Part B:

Qu 2 See overleaf for guidance.

You need a new Part B form for each point you are making. If you want to make multiple points and cannot download the form or copy it, then you can get more copies from Parish Council offices.

Qu 3 We have no reason to believe that it is not legally compliant, but we do believe it's not sound. Tick: Legally Compliant – **YES** Sound – **NO**

Qu 4 The response will vary point by point. For example, the approach to the **minerals supply** is considered to be unsound as it has not been **justified**. The assessment of impacts on local issues such as transport, proximity to school is considered unsound as it is not **effective**. See overleaf or HCC guidance notes

Qu 5 Set out your objection. Feel free to use our points or even better say it in your own words although remember to stick to the point you originally made in question 2

Qu 6 List here what you believe they need to do e.g. thorough investigation into increasing marine won aggregates

Qu 7 Tick 'written representations' unless you feel strongly about attending any hearing.

Qu 8 If you wish to attend the hearing please say why you wish to attend.

THERE WILL BE A MEETING AT HAMBLE COMMUNITY COLLEGE ON TUESDAY 6TH DECEMBER AT 7pm TO PROVIDE ADVICE ON RESPONDING TO THE 'SOUNDNESS' OF THE PLAN.

Please bring your form and a pen to the meeting.

(If you have already sent off your completed form, there is no need to come to this meeting)

Type of issue	STAGE suggested 'Objection' <i>Use a separate form for each objection</i>	Related parts of the HMWP
Minerals supply <u>Tick</u> Not 4.1 Justified	HCC's approach to supplying minerals relies too heavily on land-won. The county is running out of viable sites leading to contentious sites with significant issues such as Hamble Airfield in the plan. Alternatives such as marine dredged supply have not been fully explored or the reasons for not using them adequately explained. Therefore the plan is not sound, and Hamble Airfield should be removed.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policy 17 + Policy 20 • Paragraph 5.18 - 5.23 • Map 10
Local amenity impacts <u>Tick</u> Not 4.2 Effective	The plan does not adequately assess the potential impacts due to noise / vibration, dust, and visual intrusion as well as Public Rights of Way, adjacent leisure land uses (sports pitches) and community facilities (primary school / high school and college / Health Centre). The plan does not detail the proposed buffer zones to safeguard these; therefore it may bring into question the viability of the site owing to the reduced area for gravel extraction. For this reason the plan is unsound and Hamble Airfield should be removed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policy 9 + Policy 20 • Paragraphs 4.11 – 4.15 • Map 10
Local transport impacts <u>Tick</u> Not 4.2 Effective	The plan does not adequately assess the potential impacts on Hamble Lane regarding its capacity (current and cumulative), safety, air quality (already has areas of concern) and the increased delay that would be caused by extraction. No assessment has been done as to the potential impact of this, which STAGE has calculated is a significant increase in HGV movements of 13% per hour. Access relies on 3 rd party land and that the possibilities for this have not been explored. Due to the above it is believed the plan is unsound and Hamble Airfield should be removed.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policy 11 + Policy 20 • Paragraphs 4.24 – 4.28 • Map 10 <p><i>Also Strategic Transport Study pages 58 to 65</i></p>
Local economic impacts <u>Tick</u> Not 4.2 Effective	The plan generally and Policy 9 specifically does not consider the impacts on the local economy and tourism on which the community relies upon heavily, particularly the marine industry. Therefore the Plan has no direct means of taking into account the effect of the gravel extraction on local businesses. Due to this the plan is considered to be unsound and Hamble Airfield should be removed.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policy 9 + Policy 20 • Map 10
Adequacy of consultation <u>Tick</u> Not 4.1 Justified	The plan cannot be sound due to the inadequacies of the public consultations throughout it being heavily reliant on electronic communications/internet access as well as being very complicated for the layman to understand. Give your own examples, such as this form, the lack of HCC local meetings and exhibitions etc. Therefore the consultation process has been unsound throughout.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All
Plan content <u>Tick</u> Not 4.1 Justified	Sand and gravel deposits at Hamble Airfield are one factor that may warrant allocation of the Airfield for mineral extraction. However, the Airfield's particular location, so closely bounded as it is by a sensitive mix of residential, recreational, commercial, educational, healthcare, and environmental uses provides overwhelming evidence that due to its close proximity to incompatible uses, the allocation of this site in the Plan is not justified.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policy 9 + Policy 20 • Paragraphs 4.11 – 4.15 • Map 10
	<i>Plus any other objection you think is important to you.</i>	<i>If any doubt which policy, put Policy 20 & Map 10 which refers to gravel extraction at Hamble Airfield</i>

So please fill out the form and help us fight this very real threat now!

www.hamblorage.co.uk info@hamblorage.co.uk

03000 450500 (Hamble Parish Council)